

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,694	09/16/2003	Preston Whitcomb	05689-017001	4702
²⁶¹⁶¹ FISH & RICH	7590 12/11/2007 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1022			ADAMS, GREGORY W	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/664,694	WHITCOMB, PRESTON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gregory W. Adams	3652		

	Gregory W. Adams	3652					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 30 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo e of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	-				
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 			the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			•				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		·	_				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18 and 20-42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered in the second sec				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the con	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(Is to provide a				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the second continuation Shoot.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. Other:							
1/2 1 2	.\	Ded h	~				
19 milidos		DEAN J. KRAME	12/10/07				
19 (21)	· •	PRIMARY EXAMIN	ER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to configuring a mapping sensor to measure from two angles, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is apable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In this case, Fossey discloses the ability to orient blade 21 about at least two angles providing a myriad of angles from which mapping sensor 61 could be positioned. FIG. 4 discloses angles \emptyset (e.g. rotation about a vertical axis) and \emptyset (e.g. rotation about a horizontal axis), angles which position a wafer blade and mapping sensor positioned thereon relative to wafers in a cassette. Thus, it is a simple matter to use Fossey's apparatus in the manner described in claims 1, 21 & 29.